- 1 Appendix 2. Social demand for ecosystem services.
- 2 Questionnaire structure and content (for the full version see Iniesta-Arandia et al. 2014).
- 3 I. Respondent's relationship with the study area (e.g. Hometown, visited areas, main reason of being
- 4 in the area, family origin).
- 5 II. Perception of the importance and vulnerability of benefits<sup>\*</sup>:

6 From the lists panel of benefits provided by the watersheds (see Table A3.1), could you choose

7 4 that, in your opinion, are the most important in the area for social well-being?

Benefit	

8

9 III. Indicators of human well-being (i.e. based on agreement with statements about the life in10 their town).

- IV. Future options based on the influence of drivers of change (i.e. based on aspects that intheir opinion are important or have an influence in the future of the area).
- V. Respondents' general environmental behavior (e.g. membership of any association, visitedprotected areas, consumption of organic food).
- 15 VI. Socio-economic variables (e.g. Level of formal education, age, profession, net monthlyincome).

17

<sup>\*</sup> We used the term benefits instead of ecosystem services to avoid technical terms and prevent educational and cultural biases.

## Table A2.1. List of ecosystem services included in the direct face-to-face questionnairesconducted.

Category	Sub-category	Example in semiarid watersheds	
Provisioning	Traditional	Olive tree, almond three, vine, cereal, fruit orchard	
	agriculture		
	Intensive agriculture	Pepper, tomato, green bean, melon, watermelon,	
		courgette	
	Shepherding and/or	Sheep, goat, cow	
	livestock		
	Forest harvesting	Mushrooms, berries, and acorns	
	Fibre harvesting	Tussock-grass (e.g. Stipa tenacissima)	
	Freshwater	Agriculture and human consumption	
	Clean energy	Wind power and solar energy	
	Timber	Holm oak, olive tree and pine wood	
	Apiculture	Honey	
Regulating	Air quality	Air purification through vegetation	
	Microclimate	CO <sub>2</sub> sequestration and rain processes control through	
	regulation	vegetation	
	Habitat for species	Natural protected areas such as the Albuferas del Adra	
		(White-headed duck; Oxyura leucocephala)	
	Water regulation	Riparian vegetation, water infiltrations	
	Water quality	Water purification	
	Erosion control and	Terraces, deforestation	
	soil protection		
	Soil fertility	Water courses and riversides	
Cultural	Satisfaction of	Satisfaction for species conservation (e.g. fartet -	
	conserving species	Aphanius iberus- or wild goat -Capra pyrenaica-)	
	(existence)		
	Relaxation	Water, snow and mountainous landscapes	
	Local ecological	Traditional water management, ethnographic museums,	
	knowledge	agriculture in terraces, basketwork	
	Environmental	Books and activities about the environment and	
	education	traditions in the study area	
	Recreational hunting	Small game and big game hunting (e.g., rabbit,	
		partridge, wild boar and goat)	
	Ecotourism	Hiking, horse riding, mountain activities in the	
		protected area and surroundings, including rural and	
		agro-tourism	
	Aesthetic values	Beautiful landscapes such as mountains with snow	
	Local identity	Feel a special bond with the Alpujarra region	

20

## 21

## LITERATURE CITED

22 Iniesta-Arandia, I., M. García-Llorente, B. Martín-López, P. A. Aguilera, and C. Montes. 2014.

23 Socio-cultural valuation of ecosystem services: uncovering the links between values, drivers of

24 25 change and human well-being. *Ecological Economics* 108: 36-48.