

| P.A NAME | OUTCOMES | CHARACTERISTICS | | | | | | | | Notes: Level of Compliance** | Notes: Level of Participation*** | INFORMATION SOURCE |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Level of compliance of local community with park's policies | Country | Protected Area Age | Area (km ²) | Existence of Buffer Zones | IUCN P.A Category | 2009 GDP PPP per capita (Intl dollars) | Population Density in Vicinity of PA | Local community participation-decision making | | | |
| Lencois Maranhenses National Park | Low | Brazil | 30 | 1,550 | no | II | 10,453.25 | 10.53 | Excluded | Frequent illegal fishing detected | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Abakerli, 2001) |
| Kayapo Indigenous Area | High | Brazil | 22 | 32,972 | no | not known | 10,453.25 | 0.91 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Zimmerman, Peres, Malcolm, & Turner, 2001) |
| Jigme Singye Wangchuck National Park | Moderate | Bhutan | 16 | 1,730 | yes | II | 5,131.23 | 69.29 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing, Fuelwood, NTFP* & poaching | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Wang, Lassoie, & Curtis, 2006) |
| Korup National Park | Low | Cameroon | 50 | 1,294 | no | II | 2,139.57 | 33.98 | Excluded | Frequent illegal Poaching & NTFP* detected | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Mbile, et al., 2005) |
| Lobeke National Park | High | Cameroon | 37 | 1,838 | yes | II | 2,139.57 | 4.06 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Usongo & Nkanje, 2004) |
| Benoue National Park | Moderate | Cameroon | 43 | 1,665 | yes | II | 2,139.57 | 7.95 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: agriculture, poaching & fishing | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Mayaka, 2002) |
| Waza National Park | Low | Cameroon | 43 | 1,407 | no | II | 2,139.57 | 58.20 | Partially Included | Frequent illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing, Fishing, poaching,fuelwood,logging & NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Bauer, 2003) |
| Kilum-Ijim Forest | High | Cameroon | 24 | 200 | no | not known | 2,139.57 | 150.84 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Abbot, Thomas, Gardner, Neba, & Khen, 2001) |
| Wolong Nature Reserve | Low | China | 32 | 2,000 | no | VI | 6,785.87 | 69.03 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, Cattle Grazing, fuelwood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Fu, et al., 2004) |
| Shimentai Nature Reserve | Low | China | 13 | 822 | yes | V | 6,785.87 | 120.90 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: fuelwood, wild honey, logging, poaching & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Jim & Xu, 2002) |
| Xishuangbanna Nature Reserve | Moderate | China | 53 | 1,428 | no | V | 6,785.87 | 53.20 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected:Fuelwood,Poaching, timber &NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Albers & Grinspoon, 1997) |

| P.A NAME | OUTCOMES | CHARACTERISTICS | | | | | | | | Notes: Level of Compliance** | Notes: Level of Participation*** | INFORMATION SOURCE |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | Level of compliance of local community with park's policies | Country | Protected Area Age | Area (km ²) | Existence of Buffer Zones | IUCN P.A Category | 2009 GDP PPP per capita (Intl dollars) | Population Density in Vicinity of PA | Local community participation-decision making | | | |
| Machalilla National Park | Low | Ecuador | 32 | 750 | no | II | 7,573.13 | 38.97 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, Cattle grazing & Fishing | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Fiallo & Jacobson, 1995) |
| St Katherine Protectorate | High | Egypt | 23 | 4,712 | no | VI | 6,105.91 | 4.51 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Grainger, 2003) |
| Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve | Low | India | 23 | 2,236 | yes | not known | 3,039.48 | 31.38 | Partially Included | no illegal activity mentioned on article but locals are extremely dissatisfied with PA's managemnet. | Passive: community is consulted but does not influence PA management | (Maikhuri, S., & Rao, 2001) |
| Rajaji National Park | Low | India | 28 | 820 | no | II | 3,039.48 | 615.66 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing, fuelwood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Ogra, 2009) |
| Kalakad Mundanthurai Tiger Reserve | Moderate | India | 35 | 900 | yes | IV | 3,039.48 | 565.29 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing, fuelwood & NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Arjunan, Holmes, Puyravaud, & Davidar, 2006) |
| Gir National Park | Low | India | 31 | 1,265 | no | II | 3,039.48 | 231.35 | Partially Included | Frequent illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing, fuelwood & NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Mukherjee & Borad, 2004) |
| Sariska tiger Reserve | Moderate | India | 56 | 492 | no | IV | 3,039.48 | 400.59 | Excluded | Some illegal activity detected: Agriculture, Cattle grazing, Fuelwood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Sekhar, 2003) |
| Tadoba Andahari Tiger Reserve | Low | India | 18 | 625 | yes | II | 3,039.48 | 153.33 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing, fuelwood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Nagendra, Pareeth, & Ghate, 2006) |
| Barisan I Nature Reserve | Low | Indonesia | 91 | 740 | no | VI | 4,155.45 | 465.43 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Poaching, logging & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Yonariza & Webb, 2007) |
| Nairobi National Park | Low | Kenya | 65 | 117 | no | II | 1,614.07 | 2,495.16 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, cattle grazing & poaching | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Akama, Lant, & Burnett, 1995) |
| Tsavo National Park (East & West part) | Low | Kenya | 63 | 20,812 | no | II | 1,614.07 | 11.66 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, cattle grazing & poaching | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Akama, Lant, & Burnett, 1995) |

| P.A NAME | OUTCOMES | CHARACTERISTICS | | | | | | | | Notes: Level of Compliance** | Notes: Level of Participation*** | INFORMATION SOURCE |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | Level of compliance of local community with park's policies | Country | Protected Area Age | Area (km ²) | Existence of Buffer Zones | IUCN P.A Category | 2009 GDP PPP per capita (Intl dollars) | Population Density in Vicinity of PA | Local community participation-decision making | | | |
| Masoala National Park | Moderate | Madagascar | 14 | 2,204 | yes | II | 944.95 | 37.03 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: poaching, fuelwood, logging & NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Ormsby & Kaplin, 2005) |
| Mantadia National Park | Low | Madagascar | 22 | 154 | yes | II | 944.95 | 28.04 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, timber, fuelwood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Shyamsundar, 1996) |
| Kasungu National Park | Low | Malawi | 41 | 2,316 | no | II | 790.15 | 31.94 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: fuelwood, NTFP*, cattle grazing and agriculture, poaching | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Mkanda & Munthali, 1994) |
| Batang Ai National Park | High | Malaysia | 20 | 251 | no | II | 13,733.30 | 6.74 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Horowitz, 1998) |
| Otoch Ma'ax Yetel Kooh (OMYK) | Moderate | Mexico | 9 | 53 | no | VI | 13,681.32 | 12.51 | Partially Included | no illegal activity mentioned on article but locals are not completely satisfied with PA management. | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Garcia-Frapolli, Ramos- Fernandes, Galicia, & Serrano, 2009) |
| Los Tuxtlas Biosphere Reserve | Low | Mexico | 13 | 1,551 | yes | VI | 13,681.32 | 89.63 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, Cattle grazing | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Durand & Lazos, 2008) |
| Calakmul Biosphere Reserve | Low | Mexico | 22 | 7,231 | yes | VI | 13,681.32 | 10.33 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Swidden Agriculture & Cattle grazing | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Garcia-Frapolli, Ramos- Fernandes, Galicia, & Serrano, 2009) |
| Maputo Elephant Reserve | Low | Mozambique | 42 | 900 | no | IV | 954.04 | 12.73 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, Hunting, Fishing, fuel wood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (De Boer & Baquete, 1998) |
| Alaugndaw Kathapa National Park | Moderate | Myanmar | 27 | 1,606 | yes | II | 1,199.74 | 54.83 | Excluded | Some illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing, timber, fuelwood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Allendorf, et al., 2006) |
| Chatthin Wildlife Sanctuary | Low | Myanmar | 70 | 269 | yes | III | 1,199.74 | 65.51 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: fuelwood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Allendorf, et al., 2006) |
| Htamanthi wildlife Sanctuary | Moderate | Myanmar | 37 | 2,150 | no | III | 1,199.74 | 10.58 | Excluded | Some illegact activity detected: fuelwood & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Allendorf, et al., 2006) |

| P.A NAME | OUTCOMES | CHARACTERISTICS | | | | | | | | Notes: Level of Compliance** | Notes: Level of Participation*** | INFORMATION SOURCE |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | Level of compliance of local community with park's policies | Country | Protected Area Age | Area (km ²) | Existence of Buffer Zones | IUCN P.A Category | 2009 GDP PPP per capita (Intl dollars) | Population Density in Vicinity of PA | Local community participation-decision making | | | |
| Royal Chitwan National Park (RCNP) | Moderate | Nepal | 38 | 932 | yes | II | 1,215.26 | 322.50 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: poaching, fishing, logging, fuelwood & NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Straede & Treue, 2006) |
| Royal Bardia National Park | Moderate | Nepal | 35 | 968 | yes | II | 1,215.26 | 229.74 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: fuelwood, timber & NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Baral & Heinen, 2007) and (Allendorf, Smith, & Anderson, 2007) |
| Annapurna Conservation Area | High | Nepal | 19 | 7,629 | no | VI | 1,215.26 | 75.26 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Bajracharya, Furley, & Newton, 2006) |
| Royal Suklaphanta Wildlife Reserve | Moderate | Nepal | 35 | 305 | yes | IV | 1,215.26 | 308.17 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: fuelwood, timber & NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Baral & Heinen, 2007) |
| Makalu Baurun Conservation Area | Moderate | Nepal | 19 | 830 | yes | VI | 1,215.26 | 49.26 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing, fuelwood, timber, poaching & NTFP* | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Mehta & Kellert, 1998) |
| Cross River National Park | Low | Nigeria | 20 | 8,000 | yes | II | 2,274.12 | 60.38 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: fishing, hunting, fuelwood & NTFP | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Ite & Adams, 2000) |
| Rajah Sikatuna Protected Landscape | Low | Philippines | 11 | 110 | yes | V | 3,515.74 | 243.38 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, poaching, logging and NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Lynagh & Urich, 2002) |
| Kruger National Park | Moderate | South Africa | 85 | 9,150 | no | not known | 10,237.99 | 21.76 | Partially Included | no illegal activity mentioned on article but locals are not completely satisfied with PA management. | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Anthony, 2007) |
| Greater St Lucia Wetland Nature Reserve | Moderate | South Africa | 116 | 2,133 | no | II | 10,237.99 | 41.70 | Partially Included | no illegal activity mentioned on article but locals are not completely satisfied with PA management. | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Picard, 2003) |
| Tsitsikamma National park (including the terrestrial and marine park) | Low | South Africa | 11 | 298 | no | II | 10,237.99 | 17.21 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Fishing & Poaching | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Watts & Faasen, 2009) |
| Wu-Wei-Kang Wildlife Refuge (WWK) | High | Taiwan | 18 | 1 | no | not known | 31,769.78 | 180.10 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Lu, Chou, & Yuan, 2005) |
| Serengeti National Park | Moderate | Tanzania | 60 | 14,763 | no | II | 1,340.91 | 50.75 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: Cattle grazing | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Kaltenborn, Nyahongo, Kidegesho, & Haaland, 2008) |

| P.A NAME | OUTCOMES | CHARACTERISTICS | | | | | | | | Notes: Level of Compliance** | Notes: Level of Participation*** | INFORMATION SOURCE |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Level of compliance of local community with park's policies | Country | Protected Area Age | Area (km ²) | Existence of Buffer Zones | IUCN P.A Category | 2009 GDP PPP per capita (Intl dollars) | Population Density in Vicinity of PA | Local community participation-decision making | | | |
| Selous Game Reserve | Moderate | Tanzania | 106 | 44,000 | yes | IV | 1,340.91 | 15.90 | Partially Included | no illegal activity mentioned on article but locals are not completely satisfied with PA management. | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Gillingham & Lee, 2003) |
| Katavi National Park | Moderate | Tanzania | 37 | 4,471 | no | II | 1,340.91 | 12.49 | Partially Included | Some illegal activity detected: Logging & Fuelwood | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Holmes, 2003) |
| Khao Yai National Park | Moderate | Thailand | 49 | 2,185 | no | II | 8,488.69 | 87.12 | Excluded | Some illegal activity detected: Agriculture, Fuelwood, logging & NTFP* | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Albers & Grinspoon, 1997) |
| Kibale National Park | Low | Uganda | 20 | 766 | no | II | 1,210.42 | 197.89 | Excluded | no illegal activity mentioned but locals are extremely dissatisfied with park's managemnet. | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Lepp & Holland, 2006) |
| Kibale Association for Rural and Economic Development (KAFRED) | High | Uganda | 17 | not known | no | not known | 1,210.42 | 281.00 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Lepp & Holland, 2006) |
| Lake Mburo National Park | Low | Uganda | 29 | 370 | no | II | 1,210.42 | 81.34 | Partially Included | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, Cattle grazing & Poaching | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Infield & Namara, 2001) |
| Bwindi Impenetrable National Park | High | Uganda | 20 | 327 | no | II | 1,210.42 | 212.86 | Included | no illegal activity detected | Community plays a major role on PA management | (Hamilton, Cunningham, Byarugaba, & Kayanja, 2000) |
| Mgahinga Gorila National Park | High | Uganda | 81 | 38 | no | II | 1,210.42 | 484.67 | Partially Included | no illegal activity detected | Community is consulted through some meetings, but does not influence PA management | (Adams & Infield, 2001) |
| Phong Dien Nature Reserve | Low | Vietnam | 10 | 414 | no | not known | 2,941.67 | 45.65 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: NTFP*, Timber & Poaching | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Boissiere, Sheil, Basuki, Wan, & Le, 2009) |
| Gonarezhou National Park | Low | Zimbabwe | 36 | 5,053 | no | II | 394.30 | 16.91 | Excluded | Frequent illegal activity detected: Agriculture, poaching & Cattle grazing | No participation:there isn't any form of consultation or interaction between community and PA managers. | (Mombeshora & Le Bel, 2009) |

NTFP*: Non Timber Forest Product

** Frequency and type of illegal activity detected on PA case studies

*** Level of community participation specified on PA case studies

LITERATURE CITED

- Abakerli, S. (2001). A critique of development and conservation policies in environmentally sensitive regions in Brazil. *Geoforum* 32:551-565.
- Abbot, J., Thomas, D., Gardner, A., Neba, S., & Khen, M. (2001). Understanding the Links Between Conservation and Development in the Bamenda Highlands, Cameroon. *World Development* 29(7):1115-1136.
- Adams, W., & Infield, M. (2001). Park Outreach & Gorilla Conservation Mgahinga Gorilla National Park, Uganda. Page 131-147 in D. Hulme, & M. Murphree, *African Wildlife & Livelihoods: The Promise and Performance of Community Conservation*. James Currey Ltd, Oxford, UK.
- Akama, J., Lant, C., & Burnett, G. (1995). Conflicting Attitudes Towards State Wildlife Conservation Programs in Kenya'. *Society and Natural Resources* 8:133-144.
- Albers, H., & Grinspoon, E. (1997). 'A comparison of the enforcement of access restriction between Xishuangbanna Nature Reserve (China) and Khao Yai National Park (Thailand). *Environmental Conservation* 24(4):351-362.
- Allendorf, T., Smith, J., & Anderson, D. H. (2007). Residents' perceptions of Royal Bardia National Park, Nepal. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 82:33-40.
- Allendorf, T., Swe, K., OO, T., Htut, Y., Aung, M., Allendorf, K., et al. (2006). Community attitudes toward three protected areas in Upper Myanmar (Burma). *Environmental Conservation* 33(4):344-352.
- Anthony, B. (2007). The dual nature of parks: attitudes of neighbouring communities towards Kruger National Park, South Africa. *Environmental Conservation* 34(3):236-245.
- Arjunan, M., Holmes, C., Puyravaud, J., & Davidar, P. (2006). Do developmental initiatives influence local attitudes toward conservation? A case study from Kalakad-Mundanthurai Tiger Reserve, India. *Journal of Environmental Management* 79:188-197.
- Bajracharya, S., Furley, P., & Newton, A. (2006). Impacts of community-based conservation on local communities in the Annapurna Conservation Area, Nepal. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 15:2765-2786.
- Baral, N., & Heinen, J. (2007). Decentralization and people's participation in conservation: a comparative study from the Western Terai of Nepal. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology* 14:520-531.
- Bauer, H. (2003). Local perceptions of Waza National Park, northern Cameroon. *Environmental Conservation* 30(2):175-181.
- Boissiere, M., Sheil, D., Basuki, I., Wan, M., & Le, H. (2009). Can engaging local people's interests reduce forest degradation in Central Vietnam?. *Biodiversity Conservation* 18:2743-2757.
- De Boer, W., & Baquete, D. S. (1998). Natural resource use, crop damage and attitude of rural people in the vicinity of the Maputo Elephant Reserve, Mozambique. *Environmental Conservation* 25(3):208- 218.
- Durand, L., & Lazos, E. (2008). The Local perception of Tropical Deforestation and its Relation to Conservation Policies in Los Tuxtlas Biosphere Reserve, Mexico. *Human Ecology* 36:383-394.
- Fiallo, E., & Jacobson, S. (1995). Local Communities and Protected Areas: Attitude of Rural Residents Towards Conservation and Machalilla National Park, Ecuador. *Environmental Conservation* 22(3):241-249.
- Fu, B., Wang, K., Lu, Y., Liu, S., Ma, K., Chen, L., et al. (2004). Entangling the Complexity of Protected Area Management: The Case of Wolong Biosphere Reserve, Southwestern China. *Environmental Management* 33(6):788-798.
- Garcia-Frapolli, E., Ramos- Fernandes, G., Galicia, E., & Serrano, A. (2009). The complex reality of biodiversity conservation through Natural Protected area policy: Three cases Studies from Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. *Land Use Policy* 26:715-722.
- Gillingham, S., & Lee, P. (2003). People and protected areas: a study of local people perceptions of wildlife crop-damage conflict in an area bordering the Selous Game Reserve. *Oryx* 37(3):316-325.
- Grainger, J. (2003). People are living in the park. Linking biodiversity conservation to community development in the Middle East region: a case study from the Saint Katherine Protectorate, Southern Sinai. *Journal of Arid Environment* 54:29-38.
- Hamilton, A., Cunningham, A., Byarugaba, D., & Kayanja. (2000). Conservation in a Region of Political Instability: Bwindi Impenetrable Forest, Uganda. *Conservation Biology* 14(6):1722-1725.
- Holmes, C. M. (2003). The influence of protected area outreach on conservation attitude and resource use patterns: a case study from western Tanzania. *Oryx* 37(3):305-315.
- Horowitz, L. S. (1998). Integrating Indigenous Resource management with Wildlife Conservation: A Case Study of Batang Ai National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Human Ecology* 26(3):371-403.
- Infield, M., & Namara, A. (2001). Community attitudes and behaviour towards conservation: an assessment of a community conservation programme around Lake Mburo National Park, Uganda. *Oryx* 35(1):48-60.
- Ite, U., & Adams, W. (2000). Expectation, Impacts, and attitude: Conservation and Development in Cross River National Park, Nigeria. *Journal of International Development* 12:325-342.
- Jim, C., & Xu, S. (2002). Stifled Stakeholders and Subdued Participation: Interpreting Local Responses Toward Shimentai Nature Reserve in South China. *Environmental Management* 30(3):327-341.
- Kaltenborn, B., Nyahongo, J., Kidegesho, J., & Haaland, H. (2008). Serengeti National Park and its neighbours- Do they interact? *Journal for Nature Conservation* 16:96-108.
- Lepp, A., & Holland, S. (2006). A Comparison of Attitudes Towards State-Led Conservation and Community-Based Conservation in the Village of Bigodi, Uganda. *Society and Natural Resources* 19:609-623.
- Lu, D., Chou, Y., & Yuan, H. (2005). Paradigm shift in the institutional arrangement of protected areas management in Taiwan: a case study of Wu-Wei-Kang Waterfowl Wildlife Refuge in Ilan, Taiwan. *Environmental Science & Policy* 8:418-430.
- Lynagh, F., & Urich, P. (2002). A Critical Review of Buffer Zone Theory and Practice: A Philippine Case Study. *Society and Natural Resources* 15:129-145.
- Maikhuri, R., S., N., & Rao, K. S. (2001). Conservation policy-people conflicts: a case study from Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve (a World Heritage Site), India. *Forest Policy and Economics* 2:355-365.
- Mayaka, T. (2002). Wildlife Co-Management in the Benoue National Park-Complex, Cameroon: A Bumpy Road to Institutional Development. *World Development* 30(11):2001-2016.
- Mbile, P., Vabi, V., Meboka, M., Okon, D., Arrey-Mbo, J., Nkongho, F., et al. (2005). Linking management and livelihood in environmental conservation: case of Korup National Park Cameroon. *Journal of Environmental Management* 76:1-13.
- Mehta, J., & Kellert, S. (1998). Local attitudes toward community-based conservation and programmes in Nepal: a case study in the Makalu-Barun Conservation Area. *Environmental Conservation* 25(4):320-333.
- Mkanda, F., & Munthali, S. (1994). Public attitudes and needs around Kasungu National Park, Malawi. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 3:29-44.
- Mombeshora, S., & Le Bel, S. (2009). Parks-people conflicts: the case of Gonarezhou National Park and the Chitsa community in south-east Zimbabwe. *Biodiversity Conservation* 18:2601-2623.
- Mukherjee, A., & Borad, C. (2004). Integrated approach towards conservation of Gir National Park: The last refuge of Asiatic Lions, India. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 13:2165-2182.
- Nagendra, H., Pareeth, S., & Ghate, R. (2006). People within parks-forest villages, Land-cover change and landscape fragmentation in the Tadoba Andhari Tiger Reserve, India. *Applied Geography* 26:96-112.
- Ogra, M. (2009). Attitudes Toward Resolution of Human-Wildlife Conflict Among Forest-Dependent Agriculturalists Near Rajaji National Park, India. *Human Ecology* 37:161-177.
- Ormsby, A., & Kaplin, B. (2005). A framework for understanding community resident perceptions of Masoala National Park, Madagascar. *Environmental Conservation* 32(2):154-164.
- Picard, C. (2003). Post-apartheid perceptions of the Greater St Lucia Wetland Park, South Africa. *Environmental Conservation* 30(2):182-191.
- Sekhar, N. (2003). Local people's attitude towards conservation and wildlife tourism around Sariska Tiger Reserve, India. *Journal of Environmental Management* 69:339-347.
- Shyamsundar, P. (1996). Constraints on socio-buffering around the Mantadia National Park in Madagascar. *Environmental Conservation* 23(1):67-73.
- Straede, S., & Treue, T. (2006). Beyond buffer zone protection: A comparative study of park and buffer zone' products importance to villagers living inside Royal Chitwan National Park and to villagers living in its buffer zone. *Journal of Environmental Management* 78:251-267.
- Usongo, L., & Nkanje, T. (2004). Participatory approaches towards forest conservation: The case of Lobeke National Park, South east Cameroon. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology* 11:119-127.
- Wang, S., Lassoie, J., & Curtis, P. (2006). Farmer attitude towards conservation in Jigme Singye Wangchuck National Park, Bhutan. *Environmental Conservation* 33(2):148-156.
- Watts, S., & Faasen, H. (2009). Community-Based Conflict Resolution Strategies for Sustainable Management of the Tsitsikamma National Park, South Africa. *South African Geographical Journal* 91(1):25-37.
- Yonariza, & Webb, E. (2007). Rural household participation in illegal timber felling in a protected area of West Sumatra, Indonesia. *Environmental Conservation* 34(1):73-82.
- Zimmerman, B., Peres, C., Malcolm, J., & Turner, T. (2001). Conservation and development alliances with Kayapo of south-eastern Amazonia, a tropical forest indigenous people. *Environmental Conservation* 28(1):10-22.