	Parameter description	Value
percentBus	percentage of business-oriented farmers	0.1
percentSuppl	percentage of supplementary farmers	0.2
percentTrad	percentage of traditional farmers	0.7
initialAdopted0	percentage of farmers that adopted none of the practices	0.8
initialAdopted1	percentage of farmers that adopted non- structural practices	0.0
initialAdopted2	percentage of farmers that adopted structural practices	0.1
initialAdopted3	percentage of farmers that enrolled in land retirement programs	0.0
initialAdopted4	percentage of farmers that adopted nutrient management plan	0.1
initialAdopted5	percentage of farmers that adopted non- structural and structural practices	0.0
initialAdopted6	percentage of farmers that adopted non- structural practices and nutrient management plan	0.0
initialAdopted7	percentage of farmers that adopted structural practices and nutrient management plan	0.0
ownerInterference	percentage of non-operator owners initially giving decisions	0.0
ownerMaxInterference	percentage of non-operator owners giving decisions at the end of the simulation	0.8
farmerAgeToLeave	age at which traditional farmers consider leaving business	65
farmerProbToLeave	probability that traditional farmers leave business	0.8
farmerProbNonoperator	probability that traditional farmers leaving the business become non-operator owners	0.6
farmerProbAbsentee	probability that traditional farmers leaving the business become absentee landowners.	0.6

level of crop revenue insurance coverage

Appendix 3: Model parameters for the agent-based model of farmer adoption of conservation practices

ciLevel

0.8

	level of business farmers' uncertainty about	
simpleCiPlusMinusBus	their price expectation	0.3
	level of traditional farmers' uncertainty about	
simpleCiPlusMinusTrad	their price expectation	0.4
	level of supplementary farmers' uncertainty	
simpleCiPlusMinusSupp	about their price expectation	0.3

Table 3-1: Initial model parameters

Farmer type	Name	Parameter description	Value (without crop revenue insurance)	Value (with crop revenue insurance)
		weight of agricultural profit on	0.24	0.00
	b ₁	decision algorithm	0.34	0.30
	1.	weight of farmer profile on	0.40	0.52
Traditional	<u> </u>	decision algorithm	0.40	0.52
	h	weight of social network on	0.09	0.05
	b ₃	decision algorithm weight of spatial network on	0.09	0.03
	b_4	decision algorithm	0.17	0.13
	b ₁	weight of agricultural profit on decision algorithm	0.27	0.23
		weight of farmer profile on		0.59
Supplementary	<u> </u>	decision algorithm	0.46	0.58
	b ₃	weight of social network on decision algorithm	0.17	0.13
	b ₄	weight of spatial network on decision algorithm	0.10	0.06
	b_1	weight of agricultural profit on decision algorithm	0.49	0.45
Business-	b ₂	weight of farmer profile on decision algorithm	0.19	0.31
oriented	b ₃	weight of social network on decision algorithm	0.24	0.20
	b4	weight of spatial network on decision algorithm	0.08	0.04
Absentee landowners	b 1	weight of agricultural profit on decision algorithm	0.09	0.05
	b ₂	weight of farmer profile on decision algorithm	0.61	0.69
	b ₃	weight of social network on decision algorithm	0.30	0.26

		weight of spatial network on		
	b ₄	decision algorithm	0.00	0.00
	1.	weight of agricultural profit on	0.00	0.04
	<u>b</u> 1	decision algorithm weight of farmer profile on	0.08	0.04
	b ₂	decision algorithm	0.45	0.57
Investor		weight of social network on		
	b ₃	decision algorithm	0.29	0.25
		weight of spatial network on		
	b ₄	decision algorithm	0.18	0.14
	F (*1	farmer attributes for adopting none	0.00	1.00
	Fprofile ₀	of the practices	0.90	1.00
	F (*1	farmer attributes for adopting non-	0.00	0.07
	Fprofile ₁	structural practices	0.68	0.96
	F (*1	farmer attributes for adopting	0.00	0.00
	Fprofile ₂	structural practices	0.00	0.02
	D C 1	farmer attributes for adopting land	1.00	0.00
	Fprofile ₃	retirement programs	1.00	0.00
Traditional		farmer attributes for adopting		
	Fprofile ₄	nutrient management plans	0.43	0.35
		farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural and structural		
	Fprofile ₅	practices	0.10	0.10
	1 promey		0.10	0.10
		farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural practices and		
	Fprofile ₆	nutrient management plans	0.51	0.42
		farmer attributes for adopting both	0.01	0
		structural practices and nutrient		
	Fprofile ₇	management plans	0.08	0.07
		farmer attributes for adopting none		
	Fprofile ₀	of the practices	0.36	0.48
Supplementary		farmer attributes for adopting non-		
	Fprofile ₁	structural practices	0.49	1.00
		farmer attributes for adopting		
	Fprofile ₂	structural practices	0.06	0.08
		farmer attributes for adopting land		
	Fprofile ₃	retirement programs	1.00	0.00
		farmer attributes for adopting		
	Fprofile ₄	nutrient management plans	0.17	0.77
		farmer attributes for adopting both		
	E 61	non-structural and structural	0.22	0.00
	Fprofile ₅	practices	0.22	0.06

	Fprofile ₆	farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural practices and nutrient management plans	0.17	0.82
	Fprofile ₇	farmer attributes for adopting both structural practices and nutrient management plans	0.17	0.09
	Fprofile ₀	farmer attributes for adopting none of the practices	0.28	0.50
	Fprofile ₁	farmer attributes for adopting non- structural practices	0.74	0.65
	Fprofile ₂	farmer attributes for adopting structural practices	0.20	0.03
	Fprofile ₃	farmer attributes for adopting land retirement programs	0.00	0.00
Business- oriented	Fprofile ₄	farmer attributes for adopting nutrient management plans	0.43	1.00
	Fprofile ₅	farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural and structural practices	0.36	0.08
	Fprofile ₆	farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural practices and nutrient management plans farmer attributes for adopting both	1.00	0.66
	Fprofile ₇	structural practices and nutrient management plans	0.28	0.08
	Fprofile ₀	farmer attributes for adopting none of the practices	0.00	0.38
	Fprofile ₁	farmer attributes for adopting non- structural practices	1.00	0.74
	Fprofile ₂	farmer attributes for adopting structural practices	0.60	0.02
Absentee landowner	Fprofile ₃	farmer attributes for adopting land retirement programs	0.17	0.00
	Fprofile ₄	farmer attributes for adopting nutrient management plans	0.12	0.49
	Fprofile ₅	farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural and structural practices	0.72	0.03
	Fprofile ₆	farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural practices and nutrient management plans	0.31	1.00

	Fprofile ₇	farmer attributes for adopting both structural practices and nutrient management plans	0.62	0.02
	Fprofile ₀	farmer attributes for adopting none of the practices	0.00	0.38
	Fprofile ₁	farmer attributes for adopting non- structural practices	1.00	0.74
	Fprofile ₂	farmer attributes for adopting structural practices	0.37	0.02
	Fprofile ₃	farmer attributes for adopting land retirement programs	0.48	0.00
Investor	Fprofile ₄	farmer attributes for adopting nutrient management plans	0.13	0.49
	Fprofile ₅	farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural and structural practices	0.55	0.03
	Fprofile ₆	farmer attributes for adopting both non-structural practices and nutrient management plans	0.30	1.00
		farmer attributes for adopting both structural practices and nutrient	0.50	1.00
	Fprofile ₇	management plans	0.55	0.02

Table 3-2: Model parameters comparison for crop revenue insurance scenario.