Appendix 3. Review phase 2 - online survey questions

Review questions relevant to the analysis and results presented in manuscript:

Pl	Does the paper include both social and ecological aspects? ease note that the inclusion of both social and ecological aspect was a key criteria when selecting pers for this review. Please double check the paper carefully before answering this question.
	Yes No
2.	How is the interaction between social and ecological aspects considered?
	Unidirectional (the interaction captured/considered is from the ecological to the social system, or vice-versa, but not both) Bidirectional (both types of interactions are captured/considered i.e. from the ecological to the social system, and vice-versa) N/A
3.	What is the value orientation behind the application of the approach?
	internal functioning)
	. What types of social variables are included? ck all that apply
	Demographic (e.g. gender, educational level, location, ethnicity, race, family size, education, income and occupation)
	Economic (e.g. population, poverty rate, available resources, investment, costs/payments, profits, gross domestic product, employment indicators, inflation rates) Politics or power
	Management (resources, professionals, plans and actions taken to manage a resource) Wellbeing
	Infrastructure (physical structures and facilities)
	Management systems (policies, processes and procedures of an entity)
	Land use / resource use
	Social relations/interactions/processes (e.g. social capital, collaboration, social movements, social learning)
	Psychosocial constructs (e.g. norms, values, attitudes, beliefs, preferences)
	Historical accounts
	Behavioural (e.g. actions/decisions of individual(s) that have an effect on the ecological systems)

4b	. What types of ecological variables are included? (empirical papers only) Tick all that apply
	n/a Ecosystem services (i.e. provisioning - food, raw materials, fresh water, medicinal resources; regulating - climate and air quality, carbon sequestration, moderation of extreme events, waste water treatment; cultural - spiritual, recreation, tourism, education, aesthetic appreciation and inspiration for culture and art)
	Biophysical aspects (e.g. habitat type/land cover type, climatic variables) Biodiversity aspects (e.g. richness, distribution, abundance, functional diversity, phylogenetic diversity)
	Ecological processes (e.g. Ecological functions - erosion control, soil fertility, pollination, biological control, nutrient cycles, energy transfer, community dynamics) Geomorphological processes (e.g. erosion, weathering) Evolutionary aspects (e.g. life-history traits)
	Genetics (e.g. phenotypic traits, fitness) Animal behaviour (e.g. how animals interact with each other, with their environment and with other living beings including humans) Other (please specify)
	. Does the paper involve a tool, method, model or conceptual framework for integrating social with ological aspects?
	Yes No
co	onceptual framework: Presents and explains and organises concepts and terms that may be used to instruct the kinds of causal explanations expected of a theory. It can be an existing or a new imework.
Th	eory: posits specific causal relationships among core variables.
	odel: Detailed manifestation of the functional relationships among variables important in a rticular setting (different models can be used to represent different aspects of a given theory).
To	ols and methods: instruments to help us undertake research.
int <i>No</i>	Please select the category that best describes the tool, method, model or framework used to the segrate social with ecological aspects that the paper integrates more than one tool/method/framework please choose all that apply. Inder "Other" you can include more information about your selection.
	Agent-based modelling Bayesian Belief Network (BBN) Behavioural economics Bio-economic modelling Collection/comparison/combination of social and ecological data

	Conceptual models (e.g. mental models, casual-loop diagrams, cognitive maps, fuzzy
	maps/models)
	Dynamic modelling
	Decision support tool/approach (e.g. structured decision making, modelling + expert elicitation, decision tree analysis, multi- criteria decision analysis)
	Descriptive approaches (case study analysis, historical analysis)
	Driver-Pressure-State-Impact-Response (DPSIR)
	Ecosystem services framework
	Game-theoretic modelling
	Human appropriation of net primary production
	Integrated index (please provide further info under "Other")
	Integrated modelling (e.g. ecological modelling with agent-based modelling, population model
	with human/social parameters/scenarios)
	Institutional Analysis and Development (IAD)
	Institutional design principles (Ostrom)
	Institutional fit (social-ecological fit)
	Long-term social-ecological research
	Management Strategy Evaluation
	Multi-agent modelling
	Participatory approaches (e.g. participatory impact assessment, cognitive mapping, community values mapping, participatory modelling, participatory scenario building, role-playing games, participatory GIS, PRA)
	Pressure-state-response (PSR)
	Qualitative models
	Resilience framework/Adaptive capacity/Panarchy/Adaptive cycle
	Scenario assessment/analysis
	Simulation modelling
	System modelling
	Social-ecological experiments
	Social-ecological systems framework (e.g. Ostrom's or other – please clarify under "Other")
	Social-ecological networks
	Spatial integration of social and ecological data
	Statistical analysis of social and ecological data
	Sustainable livelihoods
	Sustainability assessment
	Telecoupling
	Vulnerability assessment
	Other (please specify - if more than one please separate answers with a comma)
5c	. Please tick on the particular theory (or theories) driving the approach?
	N/A. There doesn't seem to be a specific theory driving the approach
	Resilience theory
	Common Pool Resource (CPR) theory
	Game theory
	Panarchy
	Adaptive cycle theory
	Systems theory
	Complexity theory

	Decision theory
	Other (please specify)
	l. How is the framework, tool, model, or method being (or proposed to be) used? ck all that apply
	to describe/understand the system (e.g. understand elements, relationships, problems or gaps) to identify/explore/test management alternatives/strategies or policy evaluation to monitor and/or evaluate policies (efficacy) to identify priorities or "optimal" solutions (finding the best answer for a specific problem) to identify desired way forward/direction/predict future change (model future states) to describe historical changes to test hypothesis to build theories to build tools or create methods to engage stakeholders other (please specify)
6.	Is the analysis conducted qualitative, quantitative or both?
	Qualitative Quantitative Both N/A
	. Does the paper mention any of the following stakeholder types as having been involved as a llaborator in the research process?
	Resource users Scientific experts Cultural groups Community groups Industry groups NGOs Government organisations Private companies General public No one involved (none are mentioned) Unable to tell (seems that some stakeholder types were involved but it is not clear who) N/A (conceptual paper) Other (please specify)
	b. For each stakeholder type indicated in the previous question, at what stages of the study were they volved? (problem identification, study design, data collection, analysis/assessment, delivery of

outputs)

	Problem identification	Study design	Data collection	Analysis/assessment	Delivery of outputs	Other (please specify below)	Unable to tell (how stakeholder type was involved)
Resource users	\$	\$	•	\$	•	\$	•
Scientific experts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Cultural groups	•	\$	•	\$	\$	\$	•
Community groups	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Industry groups	\$	\$	•	\$	\$	\$	•
NGOs	\$	\$	•	•	•	•	•
Government organisations	\$	•	\$	\$	•	\$	•
Private companies	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
General public	\$	\$	•	\$	\$	\$	•
Other	•	•	•	\$	•	•	•
Comments							

- 10. To what extent does the paper/research lead to practical recommendations? (1: No recommendations, 5: Provides an extensive and clear list of recommendations for policy or practice)
- 11. On a scale of 1 to 5, to what extent would you say that social and ecological aspects are integrated? (Where 1 is minimal integration and 5 is a great amount of integration)

Minimal integration: focus is on either the social/human or the natural/ecological system, and only one (or a few) variables/components of the other system are considered

A great amount of integration: Feedbacks between social and ecological components are explicitly accounted for/considered or multiple processes involving both social and ecological variables are considered at the same time

Other questions:

Is	it a	in em	pirical	or	conce	ptual*	paper	?
----	------	-------	---------	----	-------	--------	-------	---

Empirical only
Conceptual only

☐ Empirical and conceptual

□ Neither. It is a review or other type of paper
Conceptual paper: Presents and explains and organizes concepts (abstract descriptions of phenomena) together. It can be a new conceptualization, an existing conceptualization, or an adaptation of an existing conceptualization.
Empirical paper: reports the results of a study that uses actual data derived from observation or experimentation (this includes data derived from expert opinion or local knowledge).
A paper can be considered to be "empirical and conceptual" when the conceptual framework that is applied is first explained in detail (these type of papers usually have a diagram, but not all do).
What type of problem or problems are being addressed through the application (or proposed application) of the approach? <i>Tick all that apply</i>
Residential and commercial development related problems or conflicts (including tourism related) Agriculture and aquaculture related problems or conflicts Energy production and mining related problems or conflicts Transportation and associated related problem or conflicts Biological resource use (e.g. hunting and collecting terrestrial animals, logging and wood harvesting, fishing aquatic resources) Human activities that may alter, destroy and disturb habitats and species associated with non-consumptive uses of biological resources (including recreational, war, civil unrest) Natural systems modifications (often to improve human welfare e.g. fire suppression or increase, change in water flow patterns) Invasive and other problematic species and genes (e.g. invasive non-native species, problematic native species, introduced genetic material) Pollution (e.g. agricultural and forestry effluents, domestic sewage and urban waste water, industrial and military effluents, garbage and solid waste, air-borne pollutants) Geological events (e.g. volcanoes, earthquakes, avalanches or landslides) Climate change and severe weather (habitat shifting and alteration, droughts, temperature extremes, storms and flooding) Community development issues (e.g. educational, cultural, economic, social and environmental wellbeing of communities) Other (please specify)