Appendix 2

Table A2.1. Summary of the dynamics captured in the causal loop diagrams by thematic section, with key literature used to identify them. Identified system archetypes are shown in bold (Braun, 2002; Table 2).

Thematic sections	Descriptions	
Burkina Faso		
1. Centralized seed production (6 feedback loops)	The production and distribution of seeds by the National Tree Seed Centre of Burkina Faso (CNSF) is expensive due to poor road infrastructure. This reduces seed sales and potential clients may refer to other seed sources of poorer quality. Consequently, CNSF tends to focus on a partnership with the Forest Department to increase its share in the national seed market for restoration projects led by the government. In some cases, this has negative effects on the relationship between CNSF and other implementers of restoration projects, who see CNSF taking a sort of monopoly of the seeds market, imposing high seed prices (shifting the burden). However, if a collaboration is established between project implementers and CNSF, this can lead to benefits for both sides and to positive outcomes that are likely to encourage practitioners to seek scientific support from CNSF in future forest landscape restoration (FLR) initiatives and to make use of good quality germplasm (Graudal 1998).	
2. Short-term project funding (4 feedback loops)	The dependence on short-term funding for FLR creates disincentives for establishing an effective monitoring system for forest landscape restoration success (growth and underinvestment), leading to a lack of attention to seed quality in FLR efforts. Underfunding also leads to competition between stakeholders involved in FLR for funds (escalation) and a weak consultation of local communities (Bouda et al. 2011).	
3. Approach of the Forest Department (4 feedback loops)	Forest officers prioritize focusing their limited resources in enforcing laws that prevent overharvesting over supporting smallholders in seed production and providing silvicultural advice. This results in limited collaboration between smallholders and forest officers and may lead to illegal cutting (shifting the burden). This limited collaboration from forest officers, associated with the administrative burden involved in formalizing the production of nurseries managed by smallholders, discourage official registration of nurseries and makes it difficult to enforce seed collection guidelines (Bassirou 2008, Vallino 2009, Coulibaly-Lingani et al. 2011, Bouda et al. 2011, Tankoano et al. 2015).	
4. Fair Benefit Sharing (3 feedback loops)	When illegal logging occurs, lack of trust between smallholders weakens the social capital, which in turn may create competition for remaining forest resources (tragedy of the commons) and favor the monopolization of forest resources by a few individuals in a position of power, undermining the potential for future collective actions (Coulibaly-Lingani et al. 2011, Bouda et al. 2011, Westholm and Arora-Jonsson 2015).	
5. Civil society support to tree planters (6 feedbacks loops)	The civil society often supports local smallholders in planting trees and, when benefits and awareness start materializing, the example is followed by other smallholders. The civil society can also support local seedling producers by providing resources for training. On the other hand, free distribution of seedlings by the civil society to smallholder farmers is likely to undermine market opportunities for local nurseries, unless seedlings are bought from local nurseries (fixes that fail , Raebild et al. 2004).	
6. Farmers' nursery networks (4 feedback loops)	When local demand for planting material increases, so does the number of seedling producers. If there is a good degree of cooperation (limits to success), seedling producers can form a network in which they can share information on nursery techniques and location of tree seed sources, supporting each other in meeting seed demand of particular tree species, and improving opportunities to respond to local and regional demands while reducing operating costs.	
7. Preferences for exotic species (4 feedback loops)	Cultural taboos and low profitability hinder planting of native tree species, shifting the focus of smallholders towards planting exotic tree species that generate higher incomes (success to successful, Raebild et al. 2004, Etongo et al. 2015).	
8. Forest protection or further degradation (5 feedback loops)	In a context characterized by a reduction in forest cover, assisted natural regeneration interventions and regulations on the use of forest resources have been implemented in some areas of Burkina Faso to promote a more effective protection of forest resources and encourage stakeholders' interest in sustainable forest management. In other parts of the country, competition for the remaining forest resources is increasing and soil is degraded, limiting natural regeneration and leading to further environmental damage (tragedy of the commons , Gijsbers et al. 1994, Raebild et al. 2004, Bayala et al. 2011, Savadogo et al. 2012, Schumann et al. 2012, Thiombiano et al. 2013).	

9. Conservation of	Planting high-quality seeds, adapted to the current and expected future conditions at a planting site, combined with natural regeneration, enhances the chances of tree survival and	
genetic resources (3 feedback loops)	recovery of the forest ecosystem. The use of good quality seed is important to optimize survival rates and growth performance, increasing the interest of smallholders in using high quality seed and encouraging seedling producers in adopting best practices for seed collection (Broadhurst et al. 2006).	
The Philippines		
1. Effectiveness of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) (5 feedback loops)	Only a few smallholders producing timber and/or providing forest planting material are officially registered with the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), which reduces the capacity of DENR to support and cooperate with a broad range of this kind of players in the seed system. This leads to reduced effectiveness of capacity strengthening of smallholders in seed supply, and their ability to produce seedlings for the enhanced National Greening Program (eNGP, growth and underinvestment). The lack of funding available to DENR officers could motivate them to make local communities pay for services that should be free, such as their registration as official timber producers. Such actions can further reduce trust between DENR and local communities. This disconnect between DENR and smallholders feeds a negative loop and disincentivizes producers to register their business with DENR (Gregorio et al. 2004, 2015, Dahal and Capistrano 2006, Germano et al. 2007, Pulhin et al. 2007, Baynes and Gregorio 2008, Catacutan et al. 2008, Harrison et al. 2008, Mercado and Duque-Piñon 2008, Russell et al. 2011, Baynes et al. 2011, 2016)	
2. Conservation of the seed sources (3 feedback loops)	The demand for industrial wood is greater than the supply, and pressure increases on the remaining forest resources (tragedy of the commons). Populations of highly valuable trees are reduced to the point that it is difficult to find good quality seed sources. Thus, planting such tree species becomes more expensive and planting material could be of lower quality, making their cultivation less profitable for smallholders (Gregorio et al. 2004, 2011b, Carandang et al. 2006, Bensel 2008, Gravoso et al. 2011, Santos Martín et al. 2012, Le et al. 2014, Peque and Hölscher 2014, Baynes et al. 2016).	
3. Private nurseries (3 feedback loops)	Private nurseries generally have the capacity to respond to increases in local demand for trees and seedlings. However, their success may be constrained by the existence of regional public nurseries that compete with them, creating unfavourable market conditions (fixes that fails , Carandang et al. 2006, Mercado and Duque-Piñon 2008, Gregorio et al. 2010).	
4. Sustainability of People's Organizations (PO) (5 feedback loops)	The opportunities offered by contracts between DENR and POs — groups of smallholders implementing forest activities defined by DENR — initially attracted many people to join POs. However, over time, the increasingly large size of the POs created a need for strong leadership, without which the long-term operationality of POs was put under pressure (limits to success). Moreover, irregular funding and lack of alternative income opportunities drove many PO members to drop out (shifting the burden). As a result, a few remaining powerful members currently dominate the POs, concentrating power and benefits and engaging other smallholders only as workforce (fixes that fail). A diversified access to customers, other than government agencies, to sell seedlings is a way to secure long-term funding and operability of the POs, but this means a good level of cooperation needs to be in place for the POs to function well and adequately respond to demand for seed (success to successful, Catacutan and Mercado 2001, Bertomeu 2002, Dahal and Capistrano 2006, Pulhin et al. 2007, Catacutan et al. 2008, Harrison et al. 2008, Edralin and Mercado 2010, Gregorio et al. 2010, 2011b, Baynes et al. 2015, Gregorio et al. 2017).	
5. Predominance of exotic species in tree planting (5 feedback loops)	The main motivation for tree planting is timber production, so smallholders tend to choose fast-growing exotic tree species that have been widely planted in past restoration projects and, hence, have abundant seed sources and available silvicultural knowledge (success to the successful). By contrast, native tree species have largely been neglected by research efforts. Furthermore, the reproductive biology of some native tree species (e.g. masting) constrains seed collection and leads to increased use of wildlings, which often perform poorly when transplanted, due to damage to the root system during collection (Emtage and Suh 2004, Gregorio et al. 2004, 2011a, 2012, Carandang et al. 2006, Bernaldez and Mangaoang 2008, Santos Martín et al. 2012, Schneider et al. 2014, Nguyen et al. 2014).	
6. Regional nurseries (3 feedback loops)	Central nurseries have been established to address the need for high-quality planting material. However, high costs, seedling mortality related to transportation, and the availability of tree seed for a very limited range of tree species, makes this centralized model inefficient in responding to the demands of smallholders (fixes that fails , Gregorio et al. 2008, 2011b, Kadda et al. 2008, Mercado et al. 2010).	
7. Mismatch of funding and goals (6 feedback loops)	Ambitious reforestation plans are being implemented in the Philippines to counter deforestation and forest degradation. However, the ambitious goals are not supported by adequate funding on a per hectare basis; thus, regulations on seed quality are difficult to implement effectively (growth and underinvestment). Furthermore, monitoring systems tend to focus on short-term indicators and encourage fraudulent reporting, because funds are only released when an 85% survival rate is reached. Limited success reinforces the tendency for central control, exacerbating miscommunication with the local government units (fixes that fail). This leads to a lack of consultation with local communities, delays in funding and inappropriate approaches to strengthen local capacities and institutions (growths and underinvestment , Catacutan and Mercado 2001, Pulhin et al. 2007, Gregorio et al. 2008, 2011b, 2017).	
8. Local knowledge transfer (3 feedback loops)	Appropriate training of local communities enables them to acquire more experience in seed production. Once confident enough, community members can share their knowledge with other people in their villages. This acquisition of knowledge leads to a greater degree of trust between DENR and local communities, and a greater degree of freedom in the	

design of forest restoration initiatives (Bertomeu 2002, Emtage and Suh 2004, Bernaldez and Mangaoang 2008, Harrison et al. 2008, Mercado and Duque-Piñon 2008, Baynes et al. 2011, Gravoso et al. 2011).

Literature Bayala, J., M. Dianda, J. Wilson, S. J. Ouédraogo, and K. Sanon. 2009. Predicting field performance of five irrigated tree species using seedling quality assessment in Burkina Faso, West Africa. New Forests 38(3):309–322.

Baynes, J., and N. Gregorio. 2008. Nursery Training for Smallholders: An Evaluation of Two Extension Programs in the Philippines. Small-scale Forestry 7(3–4):387–401.

Baynes, J., J. Herbohn, and W. Dressler. 2016. Power relationships: Their effect on the governance of community forestry in the Philippines. Land Use Policy 54:169–176.

Baynes, J., J. Herbohn, and I. Russell. 2011. The Influence of Farmers' Mental Models on an Agroforestry Extension Program in the Philippines. Small-scale Forestry 10(3):377–387.

Baynes, J., J. Herbohn, C. Smith, R. Fisher, and D. Bray. 2015. Key factors which influence the success of community forestry in developing countries. Global Environmental Change 35:226–238.

Belem, B., B. M. I. Nacoulma, R. Gbangou, S. Kambou, H. H. Hansen, Q. Gausset, S. Lund, A. Raebild, D. Lompo, M. Ouedraogo, I. Theilade, and J. Boussim. 2007. Use of Non Wood Forest Products by local people bordering the "Parc National Kaboré Tambi", Burkina Faso. The Journal of Transdisciplinary Environmental Studies 6(1):21.

Bensel, T. 2008. Fuelwood, deforestation, and land degradation: 10 years of evidence from cebu province, the Philippines. Land Degradation & Development 19(6):587–605.

Bernaldez, S. O., and E. O. Mangaoang. 2008. Tree Adoption and Nursery and Propagation Practices in Smallholder Upland Farms in Inopacan and Isabel, Leyte, the Philippines. Small-scale Forestry 7(3–4):295–309.

Bertomeu, M. 2002. Growing agroforestry trees: Farmers' experiences with individual and group nurseries in Claveria, Philippines. ICRAF.

Bouda, H.-N., P. Savadogo, D. Tiveau, and B. Ouedraogo. 2011. State, forest and community: challenges of democratically decentralizing forest management in the Centre-West Region of Burkina Faso. Sustainable Development 19(4):275–288.

Carandang, W. M., E. L. Tolentino, and J. M. Roshetko. 2006. Smallholder Tree Nursery Operations in Southern Philippines - Supporting Mechanisms for Timber Tree Domestication. Forests, Trees and Livelihoods 16(1):71–83.

Catacutan, D., M. Bertomeu, L. Arbes, C. Duque, and N. Butra. 2008. Fluctuating Fortunes of a Collective Enterprise: The Case of the Agroforestry Tree Seeds Association of Lantapan (ATSAL) in the Philippines. Small-scale Forestry 7(3–4):353–368.

Catacutan, D., and A. R. Mercado. 2001. Technical Innovations and Institution-Building for Sustainable Upland Development: Landcare in the Philippines. Makati city, Philippines.

Coulibaly-Lingani, P., P. Savadogo, M. Tigabu, and P.-C. Oden. 2011. Factors influencing people's participation in the forest management program in Burkina Faso, West Africa. Forest Policy and Economics 13(4):292–302.

Dahal, G. R., and D. Capistrano. 2006. Forest governance and institutional structure: an ignored dimension of community based forest management in the Philippines. International Forestry Review 8(4):377–394.

Edralin, D. I., and A. Mercado. 2010. Profiling tree nurseries in northern Mindanao, the Philippines. Annals of Tropical Research 32(2):15–25.

Emtage, N., and J. Suh. 2004. Socio-economic factors affecting smallholder tree planting and management intentions in Leyte Province, Philippines. Small-scale Forest Economics, Management and Policy 3(2):257–270.

Etongo, D., I. Djenontin, M. Kanninen, and K. Fobissie. 2015. Smallholders' Tree Planting Activity in the Ziro Province, Southern Burkina Faso: Impacts on Livelihood and Policy Implications. Forests 6(12):2655–2677.

Germano, E. M., E. G. Tan, and E. Cedamon. 2007. Assessment of Tree Registration in Leyte and Biliran Islands. Annals of Tropical Research 3(29):40–48.

Gijsbers, H. J. M., J. J. Kessler, and M. K. Knevel. 1994. Dynamics and natural regeneration of woody species in farmed parklands in the Sahel region (Province of Passore, Burkina Faso). Forest Ecology and Management 64(1):1–12.

Graudal, L. 1998. The Functions and Role of a National Tree Seed Center. Page 11 Vers une approche régionale des ressources génétiques forestières en Afrique sub-saharienne. Actes du premier atelier régional de formation sur la conservation et l'utilisation durable des ressources génétiques en Afrique de l'Ouest, Afrique Centrale et Madagascar. Institut international des ressources phytogénétiques, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.

Gravoso, R. S., N. O. Gregorio, M. A. D. Gerona, M. N. V. Seriño, S. R. Harrison, H. V. Pajaron, and J. V. Godoy. 2011. Development and Implementation of a Forest Nursery Accreditation Policy at a Local Level in Leyte, Philippines. Small-scale Forestry 10(4):473–488.

Gregorio, N., U. Doydora, S. Harrison, J. Herbohn, and J. Sebua. 2011a. Inventory and assessment of mother trees of indigenous timber species on Leyte Island, The Philippines.

Gregorio, N., S. Harrison, and J. Herbohn. 2010. The Seedling Nursery Survey on Leyte Island, the Philippines. Annals of Tropical Research 32(2):1–14.

Gregorio, N., J. Herbohn, and S. Harrison. 2004. The social and economic factors affecting the role that nurseries play in efficient forest industry in Leyte, Philippines. Annals of Tropical Research 32(2):113–118.

Gregorio, N., J. Herbohn, S. Harrison, A. Pasa, and A. Ferraren. 2017. Regulating the Quality of Seedlings for Forest Restoration: Lessons from the National Greening Program in the Philippines. Small-scale Forestry 16(1):83–102.

Gregorio, N., J. Herbohn, S. Harrison, and C. Smith. 2015. A systems approach to improving the quality of tree seedlings for agroforestry, tree farming and reforestation in the Philippines. Land Use Policy 47:29–41.

Gregorio, N. O., S. Harrison, and J. Herbohn. 2008. Enhancing Tree Seedling Supply to Smallholders in Leyte Province, Philippines: An Evaluation of the Production System of Government Nursery Sector and Support to Smallholder Tree Farmers. Small-scale Forestry 7(3–4):245–261.

Gregorio, N. O., J. Herbohn, and S. Harrison. 2011b. The Operational Effectiveness of the Forest Nursery Sector in Leyte, Philippines. Pages 155–165 Improving the Triple Bottom Line Returns from Small-scale Forestry, Proceedings from an International IUFRO 3.08. Ormoc, the Philippines.

Gregorio, N. O., J. L. Herbohn, and J. K. Vanclay. 2012. Developing establishment guidelines for Shorea palosapis in smallholder plantings in the Philippines. International Forestry Review 14(4):492–501.

Harrison, S., N. F. Emtage, and E. E. Nasayao. 2004. Past and present forestry support programs in the Philippines, and lessons for the future. Small-scale Forest Economics, Management and Policy 3(3):303–317.

Harrison, S., N. Gregorio, and J. Herbohn. 2008. A Critical Overview of Forestry Seedling Production Policies and Practices in Relation to Smallholder Forestry in Developing Countries. Small-scale Forestry 7(3–4):207–223.

Kadda, A. S., A. M. Venus, D. B. Lataza, and M. R. E. Solis. 2008. Operational Effectiveness of Centralized Nursery for Small-Scale Forestry in San Carlos City, Negros Occidental, the Philippines: Lessons Learned in Seedling Production for Commercial Energy Crop Plantations. Small-scale Forestry 7(3–4):319–331.

Kambire, H., I. Djenontin, A. Kabore, H. Djoudi, M. Balinga, M. Zida, and S. Assembe-Mvondo. 2015. La REDD+ et l'adaptation aux changements climatiques au Burkina Faso: Causes, agents et institutions. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).

Le, H. D., C. Smith, and J. Herbohn. 2014. What drives the success of reforestation projects in tropical developing countries? The case of the Philippines. Global Environmental Change 24:334–348.

Martin, F. S., and M. van Noordwijk. 2009. Trade-offs analysis for possible timber-based agroforestry scenarios using native trees in the Philippines. Agroforestry Systems 76(3):555–567.

Mercado, A. R., and C. Duque-Piñon. 2008. Tree Seedling Production Systems in Northern Mindanao, Philippines. Small-scale Forestry 7(3–4):225–243.

Mercado, A. R., D. I. Edralin, and S. Harrison. 2010. Techinical and financial aspects of clonally propagated rubber planting stock for rubber agroforestry in Mindanao.

Nguyen, H., D. Lamb, J. Herbohn, and J. Firn. 2014. Designing Mixed Species Tree Plantations for the Tropics: Balancing Ecological Attributes of Species with Landholder Preferences in the Philippines. PLoS ONE 9(4):1–11.

Peque, D., and D. Hölscher. 2014. Rare tree species in nurseries across the Visayas, Philippines. International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation 6(8):589–599.

Pulhin, J. M., M. Inoue, and T. Enters. 2007. Three decades of community-based forest management in the Philippines: emerging lessons for sustainable and equitable forest management. International Forestry Review 9(4):865–883.

Raebild, A., B. Bassirou, J. P. B. Lillesø, E.-L. Yago, and P. Damas. 2004. Farmers' planting practices in Burkina Faso: a survey carried out by the project "Improved Seed Supply for Agroforestry in African Countries." Forest and Landscapes, University of Copenhagen.

Russell, I., E. Mangaoang, S. Harrison, J. Herbohn, and J. Baynes. 2011. An approach to improving the flow of information for forestry regulation in the Philippines: trees for life and livelihood.

Savadogo, S., A. Ouedraogo, and A. Thiombiano. 2012. Diversité et enjeux de conservation des bois sacrés en société Mossi (Burkina Faso) face aux mutations socioculturelles actuelles. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences 5(4):1639–1658.

Schneider, T., M. S. Ashton, F. Montagnini, and P. P. Milan. 2014. Growth performance of sixty tree species in smallholder reforestation trials on Leyte, Philippines. New Forests 45(1):83–96.

Schumann, K., R. Wittig, A. Thiombiano, U. Becker, and K. Hahn. 2012. Uses, management, and population status of the baobab in eastern Burkina Faso. Agroforestry Systems 85(2):263–278.

Tankoano, B., M. Hien, N. H. Dibi, Z. Sanon, J. T. Yameogo, and I. Somda. 2015. Dynamique spatio-temporelle des savanes boisées de la forêt classée de Tiogo au Burkina Faso. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences 9(4):1983–2000.

Thiombiano, D. N. E., N. Lamien, A. M. Castro-Euler, B. Vinceti, D. Agundez, and I. J. Boussim. 2013. Local Communities Demand for Food Tree Species and the Potentialities of Their Landscapes in Two Ecological Zones of Burkina Faso. Open Journal of Forestry 03(03):79–87.

Valette, M., B. Vinceti, D. Traoré, A. T. Traoré, E. L. Yago-Ouattara, and F. Kaguembèga-Müller. 2019. How Diverse is Tree Planting in the Central Plateau of Burkina Faso? Comparing Small-Scale Restoration with Other Planting Initiatives. Forests 10(3):227.

Vallino, E. 2009. Community Based Management of a Protected Area: the case of GEPRENAF in Burkina Faso. International Journal of Social Forestry 2(1):24–42.

Westholm, L., and S. Arora-Jonsson. 2015. Defining Solutions, Finding Problems: Deforestation, Gender, and REDD+ in Burkina Faso. Conservation and Society 13(2):189–199.