## **APPENDIX 1**

List of questions used as a guide for developing each case study.

- BACKGROUND: What was the rationale for undertaking the project or planning initiative? In particular, was there any social awareness of insufficient availability of GBI or insufficient accessibility of the selected GBI components? Was there a feeling of the inequitable division of the related benefits (opportunities for recreation, health benefits, noise mitigation, place attachment, etc.) for the different socioeconomic groups of urban inhabitants? How did it manifest? Was there community mobilization and resistance to the inequitable division of benefits? What is the relevant policy/planning response, and what are the measures undertaken?
- CASE STUDIES BRIEFLY ANALYZED WITH THE ENABLE FRAMEWORK: How have the three ENABLE filters been incorporated into the project or planning initiative? Has infrastructure been a problem or a solution in this case? How have the relevant institutions been used to solve the problem for which the project/initiative was launched? What institutional barriers might have prevented it from happening? How have the preferences of the inhabitants been taken into consideration? Have there been any studies regarding those preferences, or have the preferences been assumed by the decision-makers? Potentially link to procedural or recognition justice.
- LESSONS. CAVEATS. THINGS TO KEEP IN MIND. WHAT CAN GO WRONG • GIVEN THE CURRENT SHAPE OF THE INITIATIVE – keeping in mind our knowledge on environmental justice regarding urban green space availability and accessibility (preferably relative to an "ideal" case, but at least relative to the aspirations and identified targets)? Unintended consequences resulting from the complexity of social-ecological systems and complexity – and specifically of the infrastructures, institutions, perceptions. Within these ENABLE filters, we can investigate specifically the externalities, spill-over effects, and trade-offs. Of course, there are issues that may span all three filters and yet others that go beyond any of our filters - please keep those in mind too. What are or may be the unexpected changes/outcomes of the initiative? These cases illustrate good intentions that may lead to unexpected outcomes. What should the decision-makers, experts try to avoid? What would we like to suggest to the decision-makers to keep in mind when developing this initiative further? Analyze not only the outcomes but also the process - how the initial intentions changed during the implementation, resulting in the fact that outcomes were different from the initial plans. Context matters - context is likely to change, and the initiatives often fit into existing contexts. What have the decisionmakers considered as the context - did they consider the different circumstances that may change?
- What are the particular TRADE-OFFS that emerge in a given case study? What is at stake?