Appendix 1

Table A1.1 Rules for conversion of land uses/covers under Scenario I: Cash crops

| Qualitative rules identified from the narrative scenarios | Quantitative rules that detail the original land use/cover to be converted | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Farmers are encouraged to increase coffee production on farmland – arable land | 44% (27,500 ha) of flat, arable land at future coffee-producing altitudes (1500-2300m) was | | |
| | converted to coffee plantation. | | |
| Farmers are encouraged to increase coffee | 25% (7,000 ha) of flat, pasture at future coffee- | | |
| production on farmland – (pasture) and new | producing altitudes (1500-2300m) was converted | | |
| coffee plantations may stabilize local climate | to coffee plantation. | | |
| Intensively managed khat plantations are | 21% (13,000 ha) of flat, arable land at below- and | | |
| established on former farmland | above-future coffee altitudes (<1500m and | | |
| Intensively, managed liket plantations are | >2300m) was converted to khat plantation. | | |
| Intensively managed khat plantations are established on former farmland | 13% (3,600 ha) of flat, pasture at below- and above-future coffee altitudes (<1500m and | | |
| established on former farilland | >2300m) was converted to khat plantation. | | |
| Fast-growing trees (mainly monocultures of | 85% (9,800ha) of steep, arable land was converted | | |
| eucalyptus plantations) primarily target degraded | to eucalyptus plantation. | | |
| areas or marginal land | to caeazypous pramauron. | | |
| Tree plantations are mostly monocultures of | 85% (5,400 ha) of flat, pasture of medium | | |
| eucalyptus, but also other fast-growing trees | heterogeneity (5%-20%) and at above-future | | |
| | coffee altitudes (>2300m) was converted to | | |
| | eucalyptus plantation. | | |
| Tree plantations are mostly monocultures of | 85% (2,800 ha) of steep, pasture was converted to | | |
| eucalyptus, but also other fast-growing trees | eucalyptus plantation. | | |
| To ensure that sufficient food is still grown (and | Flat, arable land of low heterogeneity (< 5%) and | | |
| not only cash crops), the most fertile land should | at high altitude (>2300m) remains the same as in | | |
| be used for farming | the baseline. | | |
| To ensure that sufficient food is still grown (and | Flat, pasture with low heterogeneity (<5%) and at | | |
| not only cash crops), the most fertile land should | above-coffee altitudes (>2300m) remains the | | |
| be used for farming | same as in the baseline. | | |
| To ensure that sufficient food is still grown (and | Cultivated and grazed wetlands remain the same | | |
| not only cash crops), the most fertile land should | as in the baseline. | | |
| be used for farming | | | |
| Forest degradation slowed down because | Farmland woody vegetation remains the same | | |
| farmland can provide important tree-related | except those affected by settlement expansion. | | |
| ecosystem services | | | |

Table A1.2 Rules for conversion of land uses/covers under Scenario II: Mining green gold

| Qualitative rules identified from the narrative scenarios Large areas of smallholder arable land conducive for coffee investment has been transferred to capital investors for the expansion of largescale intensive coffee plantations. | Quantitative rules that detail the original land use/cover to be converted 75% (47,400 ha) of flat, arable land at future coffee producing altitudes (1500-2300m) was converted to coffee plantation. |
|---|---|
| Large areas of farmland woody vegetation were converted into intensively managed shade coffee plantations, often using non-native shade tree species. | 60% (2,800 ha) of farmland woody vegetation in flat areas at future coffee producing altitudes (1500-2300m) was converted to coffee plantation. |
| Large areas of natural forest conducive for coffee investment has been transferred to capital investors for the expansion of largescale intensive coffee plantations. | 50% (74,400 ha) of forest at future coffee producing altitudes (1500-2300m) was converted to coffee plantation. |
| Endemic trees and shrubs might be lost, including wild coffee and traditional shade tree species | Forest remains in altitude ranges not suitable for future coffee producing (<1500m, and >2300m). |
| Endemic trees and shrubs might be lost, including wild coffee and traditional shade tree species | Farmland woody vegetation in steep areas and on altitudes not suitable for coffee (<1500m, and >2300m) remains as farmland woody vegetation. |
| The landscape is largely transformed to a coffee production zone, with monocultures of high yielding improved coffee cultivars. | 45% (12,600 ha) of flat, pasture at future coffee producing altitudes (1500-2300m) was converted to coffee plantation. |
| Local farmers are left to farm marginalized areas unsuitable for largescale coffee plantation such as on steep hills | Flat, arable land but on low altitude (<1500m) and very high altitude (>2300m) remain as arable land as in the baseline. |
| Local farmers are left to farm marginalized areas unsuitable for largescale coffee plantation such as on steep hills | Flat, pasture but on low altitude (<1500m) and very high altitude (>2300m) remain as pasture as in the baseline. |
| As intensified coffee plantations have expanded into farmland, very little land is left for crop production. | Steep, arable land remain arable land as in the baseline. |
| As intensified coffee plantations have expanded into farmland, very little land is left for crop production. | Steep, pasture remain as in the baseline. |

Table A1.3 Rules for conversion of land uses/covers under Scenario III: Biosphere reserve

| Qualitative rules identified from the narrative | Quantitative rules that detail the original land |
|---|---|
| scenarios | use/cover to be converted |
| The landscape consists of a core zone of unused natural forest, a buffer zone for low intensity production of local coffee, wild honey and other forest products. | Forests were maintained as in the baseline. |
| The landscape consists of an outer area to a core and buffer zones of forests with a mosaic of cropland, pastures, and tree plantations. | Flat and steep arable land with high woody vegetation was maintained as in the baseline. |
| Livestock production and communal grazing are maintained | Flat and steep pasture with high woody vegetation was maintained as in the baseline. |
| People grow Fruits and vegetables in their home gardens | 1/3rd (33% or 24,670 ha) of flat, arable land with low and medium heterogeneity was converted to fruits and vegetables. |
| Diversified landscape: diversification involving crops, forest products and ecotourism | 1/3 rd (25% or 2,706 ha) of steep, arable land with low and medium heterogeneity was converted to fruits and vegetables. |
| Sustainable resource management and improved soil and water conservation can revert environmental degradation | 1/3rd (33% or 1,800 ha) of steep, arable land with low and medium woody vegetation remaining from fruits and vegetables was converted to farmland woody vegetation. |
| Forest cover and trees in farmland mitigate negative aspects of climate change | 1/3rd (33% or 11,200 ha) of flat, arable land with low and medium woody vegetation remaining from fruits and vegetables was converted to farmland woody vegetation. |
| Farmland biodiversity recovered and high forest biodiversity | 1/3rd (33% or 7,600 ha) of pasture with low and medium woody vegetation were converted to farmland woody vegetation. |

Table A1.4 Rules for conversion of land uses/covers under Scenario IV: Food first

| Qualitative rules identified from the narrative scenarios | Quantitative rules that detail the original land use/cover to be converted |
|--|--|
| Large scale land consolidation, including clearing of woody vegetation and cropland expansion | Flat, arable land remain as in the baseline. |
| Farming has been mechanized as much as possible with government owned tractors being available for hire to work with the large stretches of cropland in the flat areas | Farmland woody vegetation on flat areas (3,900 ha) was converted to arable land. |
| Modern agriculture almost completely replaced traditional small scale farming | Flat, pasture (27,900 ha) was converted to arable land. |
| Flat areas including drained wetlands are dominated by large cereal fields | Grazed and cultivated wetlands were converted to arable land. |
| Hills and steeper slopes used for intensified fruits and vegetables, commercial bee keeping and beef fattening | 50% (5,600 ha) of steep, arable land was converted to fruits and vegetables. |
| Hills and steeper slopes used for intensified fruits and vegetables, commercial bee keeping and beef fattening | 50% (360 ha) of steep, farmland woody vegetation was converted to fruits and vegetables. |
| Hills and steeper slopes used for intensified fruits and vegetables, commercial bee keeping and beef fattening | 50% (5,600 ha) of steep, arable land was converted to pasture. |
| Hills and steeper slopes used for intensified fruits and vegetables, commercial bee keeping and beef fattening | 50% (360 ha) of steep, farmland woody vegetation was converted to pasture. |
| Hills and steeper slopes used for intensified fruits and vegetables, commercial bee keeping and beef fattening | Steep, pasture (around 3,290 ha) remain as in the baseline. |
| Remaining patches of natural forest are put under strict protection | 50% (74,400 ha) of forest remain as forest under strict protection. |
| Growing coffee is unviable in most parts of southwestern Ethiopia | No coffee plantation, those available was converted to arable land. |

Table A1.5 Percentage of LULC changes by scenarios (in %).

| | Scenarios | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| | Cash | Mining the green | Biosphere | |
| LULC | Crop | Gold | reserve | Food First |
| Arable land | -17.1 | -17.0 | -14.1 | 30.9 |
| Coffee plantation | 12.0 | 48.8 | 0.0 | -0.3 |
| Cultivated wetland | -0.3 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -4.9 |
| Eucalyptus Plantation | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Farmland woody | | | | |
| vegetation | -0.2 | -1.0 | 8.1 | -1.7 |
| Forest | -0.1 | -26.5 | 0.0 | -17.7 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 2.1 |
| Grazed wetland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.9 |
| Khat | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pasture | -6.9 | -4.5 | -2.6 | -7.9 |
| Settlement | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Towns | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

Table A1.6 LULC changes by kebele groups for Cash crop scenarios (in %).

| LULC | Kebele groups | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|
| | Pasture- | | Woody | Accessible- |
| | cropland | Khat-Cropland | vegetation | wealthy |
| Arable land | -33.9 | -45.7 | -16.7 | -24.6 |
| Coffee plantation | 14.7 | 20.0 | 12.3 | 20.1 |
| Cultivated wetland | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.2 | -0.6 |
| Eucalyptus Plantation | 11.6 | 11.2 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| Farmland woody | | | | |
| vegetation | -0.3 | -0.4 | -0.1 | -0.2 |
| Forest | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.8 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Grazed wetland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Khat | 13.7 | 11.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Pasture | -5.5 | 3.1 | -2.7 | -3.8 |
| Settlement | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| Towns | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |

Table A1.7 LULC changes by kebele groups for Mining green gold scenario (in %).

| | Kebele groups | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|
| | Pasture- | | Woody | Accessible- |
| LULC | cropland | Khat-Cropland | vegetation | wealthy |
| Arable land | -33.2 | -22.0 | -14.5 | -23.4 |
| Coffee plantation | 50.6 | 40.6 | 60.8 | 72.1 |
| Cultivated wetland | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.5 |
| Eucalyptus | | | | |
| Plantation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| Farmland woody | | | | |
| vegetation | -2.1 | -1.7 | -0.7 | -1.0 |
| Forest | -7.8 | -12.2 | -41.2 | -41.4 |
| Fruits and | | | | |
| vegetables | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Grazed wetland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Khat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pasture | -7.5 | -4.7 | -4.4 | -8.3 |
| Settlement | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| Towns | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |

Table A1.8 LULC changes by kebele groups for Biosphere reserve scenario (in %).

| | Kebele groups | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|
| | Pasture- | | Woody | Accessible- |
| LULC | cropland | Khat-Cropland | vegetation | wealthy |
| Arable land | -19.8 | -29.0 | -10.7 | -18.4 |
| Coffee plantation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 |
| Cultivated wetland | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.2 | -0.6 |
| Eucalyptus Plantation | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| Farmland woody | | | | |
| vegetation | 14.4 | 13.3 | 6.3 | 10.6 |
| Forest | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.6 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 11.9 | 20.2 | 5.8 | 8.4 |
| Grazed wetland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Khat | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pasture | -6.3 | -4.3 | -1.4 | -2.0 |
| Settlement | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | -0.5 |
| Towns | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |

Table A1.9 LULC changes by kebele groups for Food first scenario (in %).

| | Kebele groups | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|
| | Pasture- | | Woody | Accessible- |
| LULC | cropland | Khat-Cropland | vegetation | wealthy |
| Arable land | 39.8 | 32.3 | 32.3 | 43.5 |
| Coffee plantation | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.5 | -1.2 |
| Cultivated wetland | -5.9 | -5.9 | -4.2 | -4.4 |
| Eucalyptus Plantation | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 |
| Farmland woody | | | | |
| vegetation | -3.8 | -3.8 | -0.9 | -1.2 |
| Forest | -23.7 | -18.5 | -23.3 | -32.6 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 3.2 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Grazed wetland | -1.1 | -1.1 | -0.2 | 0.0 |
| Khat | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pasture | -8.0 | -7.0 | -5.1 | -8.3 |
| Settlement | -0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | -0.6 |
| Towns | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 |

Table A1.10 Narrative scenarios with key indicators.

| | Scenarios | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Indicators/main crops | "A. Gain over grain" | "B. Mining green gold" | "C. Coffee and conservation" | "D. Food first" |
| Food crops (mainly maize, wheat, barely, teff, sorghum) | Remain in very limited space such as cultivated wetlands | Little food is produced on marginalized areas | Food crops are grown interspersed with pasture and tree plantations | Food crops expanded over the landscape mainly by large-scale farming |
| Local cash crops (mainly coffee, khat, fast-growing trees, mainly eucalyptus) | Farmers increase cash crops by reducing food crops | Not widespread, limited to unsuitable areas for large-scale coffee plantation | Traditional coffee remains in forest, coffee plantations are not favoured. | Coffee is not grown, other cash crops remain on steep slopes and hills |
| Large-scale coffee plantations | No large-scale coffee plantations | Landscape mainly consists of monocultured large-scale coffee plantation by investors | No large-scale coffee plantations, but traditional coffee remains in natural forests | No coffee plantations due to climate change |
| Livestock production and communal grazing | Pasture for livestock remains in very limited areas such as grazed wetlands | Pasture for livestock remains in very limited areas such as grazed wetlands | Pastures for livestock and communal grazing are well maintained | Remains on steep slopes |
| Woody vegetation | Mostly maintained, no clearing of woody vegetation | Woody vegetation conducive for coffee cultivation is converted to plantations by investors | Woody vegetation is maintained; landscape is diversified with mosaic of forest and farmland | Woody vegetation is cleared for cropland expansion |